

Releasing a third-party library

The release of a new third-party library, top to bottom. The first five points end the check on the spot, the soft criteria below only feed the verdict.

Hard, any one point ends the check

☐

Licence

Forbidden or unknown counts as a finding. A licence you cannot establish is not a release.

☐

Name

On the blocklist or suspiciously close to a known package name: it stops here.

☐

Known vulnerability

The checked version carries an unpatched flaw of high severity.

☐

Source

There is no public source repository. What nobody can read, nobody checks.

☐

Age

The package is younger than 90 days.

Soft, every point feeds the verdict

☐

Who writes it

If nine in ten commits come from one person, the project is orphaned the day that person leaves.

☐

Last commit

For a living project we expect activity within the last week. For a finished library three to four months is fine. Years never.

☐

Open reports

Old feature requests may sit. Real defects older than a year are a warning sign.

☐

Who carries it

A foundation behind it counts. Work under company accounts counts. Inclusion in the vetted part of a major distribution counts.

Before it goes in

☐

Waiting period

New versions arrive 14 days late, on purpose. In two analysed attacks on package registries the poisoned versions were available for hours only.

☐

Record

Every fetched value goes into a file in the repository with the time it was fetched. After that the build asks nothing, it only compares.

☐

Outcome

Accept, reject, signed exception, or fork and maintain it yourself. A rejection you forget becomes an acceptance next time.



A signed exception with a reason and a name lifts any of these findings. One it does not: the blocklist.